



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

- APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,049	08/07/2003	Kevin William Orth	1440-0003.01	1011
26568	7590 04/08/2005		EXAM	INER
COOK, ALE SUITE 2850	EX, MCFARRON, MA	NGO, LIEN M		
200 WEST ADAMS STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, 1	IL 60606	•	3727	-

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5	P
/	1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/636,049	ORTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LIEN TM NGO	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	lanuary 2005.					
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
,	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\int \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \(\sum \) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/636,049 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dorn et al. (4,890,750). Dorn et al. disclose, in figs. 1 and 2, a plastic cap comprising a shell14 having a skirt terminating in a continuous terminal end 28, a tamper evident band 16, a plurality of frangible connectors 18, a top surface 24 of the band is downwardly tapered in the direction of the band outer surface, an inwardly bead 22 on the band inner surface, and a notch on the outer surface of the band relative to the bead.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perchepied (5,609,263). Perchepied discloses, in figs. 1 and 4, a plastic cap comprising a shell 2 having a skirt terminating in a continuous terminal end, a tamper evident band 24, a plurality of frangible connectors, a top surface 26 of the band is downwardly tapered in the direction of the band outer surface, an inwardly bead 24 on the band inner surface, and a slightly notch on the outer surface of the band relative to the bead (see fig. 4). The terminal skirt end and the band defining a circumferential gap of non-uniform height.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,049 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorn et al.. Dorn et al. do not disclose the closure comprising copolymer material as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Dorn et al. closure with copolymer material as claimed, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of it suitability for the intended use as matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.
- 6. Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perchepied. Perchepied does not disclose the plastic of the cap comprising copolymer material as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Perchepied cap with copolymer material as claimed, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of it suitability for the intended use as matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,049 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,049

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

Mul

April 5, 2005